Referen ce	Clas s	Medicatio n	Type of study	No. of treated patients	No. of controls	Duration of treatmen t	Mean age steroids started, y (SD)	Dropou ts greater than 20%	Compara tor	Outcome	Adverse events	In prior practice parame ter (if yes, what class?)	Reason for change in class from prior practice parameter
e23		0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort	Prospectiv e cohort	30	24	7.2 y (5- 8)	8.5 (3.8)	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Improvemen t in the rate of developmen t of scoliosis, loss of pulmonary function, and age at loss of ambulation with treatment	Cataracts, weight gain, stress fractures, decreased bone density	No	NA
e27	III	2 mg/kg alternating day of deflazacort	RCT	28 patients r in a 2:1 sche how many p were in each not clear	eme, but atients	2 y	8.0 (1.3)	Yes	Placebo	Improved functional motor scores, age at loss of ambulation, and strength with treatment	Weight gain, behavioral change	Yes (previou s Class I)	>20% dropout, no intention to treat, no allocation concealme nt
e14	III	0.75 mg/kg/d 10 days on/10 days off and other undefined dosing of daily and alternate- day prednisone ; 0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort	Retrospect ive cohort	Prednison e: n = 16; deflazacor t: n = 1	117	Duration of treatment not defined for all; when defined varied from 3- to 264-mo equivalen ts	4 years and older, not otherwise specified	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Age at becoming wheelchair bound, requiring part- and full-time noninvasive ventilation, and developing scoliosis by 19 years improves with treatment	Vertebral fractures	No	NA

Referen ce	Clas s	Medicatio n	Type of study	No. of treated patients	No. of controls	Duration of treatmen t	Mean age steroids started, y (SD)	Dropou ts greater than 20%	Compara tor	Outcome	Adverse events	In prior practice parame ter (if yes, what class?)	Reason for change in class from prior practice parameter
e29	III	0.35 mg/kg/d of prednisolo ne	RCT, crossover	37 with DME BMD), 4 with	6 mo on treatment and 6 mo on placebo	7.8 (2.1); range 4.0–10.9	No	Placebo	Improved functional motor scores and strength with treatment	Weight gain	Yes (previou s Class I)	No primary outcome identified, no intention to treat or allocation concealme nt
e30	III	0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisone ; 0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort	Retrospect ive cohort	Prednison e: n = 18; deflazacor t: n = 12	19	Predniso ne: 5.49 y ± 1.98; deflazaco rt: 5.85 y ± 1.5	Predniso ne: 6.9 (1.0); deflazaco rt: 7.45 (0.97)	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Improved functional motor scores, strength, FVC, and requirement of surgery for scoliosis with treatment, but no difference between prednisone and deflazacort	Behavioral change, cataracts, excessive weight gain, hypertensi on, and vertebral fractures	No	NA
e33	III	Corticoster oid regimen not specified	Retrospect ive cohort	291	171	Mean 4.1 (3.4) y	7.4 (2.5)	No	Patients not taking steroids	Delayed onset of cardiomyop athy (fractional shortening) in treated group; age at cessation of ambulation correlated with duration of steroid use	Not reported	No	NA

Referen ce	Clas	Medicatio n	Type of study	No. of treated patients	No. of controls	Duration of treatmen t	Mean age steroids started, y (SD)	Dropou ts greater than 20%	Compara tor	Outcome	Adverse events	In prior practice parame ter (if yes, what class?)	Reason for change in class from prior practice parameter
e18	III	0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisone for the first 10 days of each month	RCT, crossover		17	6 mo treatment or placebo, 2 mo washout, 6 mo treatment or placebo	6.29 (0.92)	No	Placebo	Improved functional motor scores and strength with treatment; no change in QoL during treatment compared to placebo	Irritability, cushingoid appearanc e	No	NA
e24	III	0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort	Retrospect ive cohort	30	24	3.2 y ± 1.3	8.4 (2.0)	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Improved functional motor scores, age at loss of ambulation, strength, pulmonary function, and need for scoliosis surgery with treatment	Short stature, cataracts; no difference in weight gain.	Yes (previou s Class I)	Patients were only compared on some potential confoundin g characterist ics, no allocation concealme nt
e25	III	0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort ; 0.6 mg/kg/d of deflazacort for the first 20 days of the month	Retrospect ive cohort	0.9 mg/kg/d: n = 32; 0.6 mg/kg/d for the first 20 days: n = 37	30 and 19, respectiv ely	Not defined (but over 4 years)	Deflazac ort 0.9 mg/kg/d: 7.6 (1.6) (range 6– 8 y); deflazaco rt 0.6 mg/kg/d first 20 days: 6.0 (1.5)	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Improved functional motor scores, strength, and developmen t of scoliosis with treatment (no p provided)	Cataracts, fractures	No	NA

Referen ce	Clas s	Medicatio n	Type of study	No. of treated patients	No. of controls	Duration of treatmen t	Mean age steroids started, y (SD)	Dropou ts greater than 20%	Compara tor	Outcome	Adverse events	In prior practice parame ter (if yes, what class?)	Reason for change in class from prior practice parameter
							(range 4– 8 y)						
e15	III	Initiated at 0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort without further weight adjustment and reduced for side effects on an individual basis	Retrospect ive cohort	40	34	5.5 y	7.7 (1.2)	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Treatment has a positive impact on survival in second decade, loss of ambulation, and pulmonary and cardiac endpoints	Cataracts and short stature, but no difference in weight gain	No	NA
e34	III	0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisone ; 0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort	RCT	Presumabl y 9 patients in each treatment group	7 historical controls	12 mo	Deflazac ort: 8.6 (range 5.3– 14.6); predniso ne: 7.5 (range 5.1 –10)	No	Natural history controls	Prednisone and deflazacort equally effective in improving muscle strength and functional scores	Hirsutism, cushingoid appearanc e, weight gain (more with prednison e), cataracts	Yes (previou s Class I)	Patients were not compared on baseline characterist ics except age and functional score, no intention to treat
e37	III	0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisone ; 0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort	Retrospect ive cohort	10	25	8.2 y ± 1.14	Not provided	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Significant improvemen t in peak cough flow and maximum	Not reported	No	NA

Referen ce	Clas s	Medicatio n	Type of study	No. of treated patients	No. of controls	Duration of treatmen t	Mean age steroids started, y (SD)	Dropou ts greater than 20%	Compara tor	Outcome	Adverse events	In prior practice parame ter (if yes, what class?)	Reason for change in class from prior practice parameter
										expiratory pressure			
e49	I	0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisolo ne; 10 mg/kg of prednisone on weekends	RCT	64	64	12 mo	Range 4–10 y; for the 4– 6 y age group: weekend dose 5.8 (0.9), daily dose 5.7 (0.7)	No	All patients on treatment	Equivalency in primary strength and safety outcome	Weight gain, cushingoid appearanc e, behavior change	No	NA
e50	III	1.25 and 2.5 mg/kg of prednisone alternating -day	RCT	1.25 mg/kg: n = 31; 2.5 mg/kg: n = 67	Historical controls	6 mo	Range 5–15 y	No	Placebo	Alternate- day dosing had a less durable benefit for strength and similar side effects compared with daily dosing	Behavior change, weight gain, cushingoid appearanc e	Yes (previou s Class I)	Patients were not compared on baseline characterist ics, no intention to treat or allocation concealme nt
e22	II	0.3 and 0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisone	RCT	67 (n = 34 on 0.3 mg/kg/d and n = 34 on 0.75 mg/kg/d)	32	6 mo	Range 5–15 y; predniso ne 0.75 mg/kg/d: 9.36 (2.86); predsnio ne 0.3 mg/kg/d 9.63 (2.53)	No	Placebo	Improved muscle strength with treatment, with a dose response	Weight gain, cushingoid appearanc e, hirsutism	Yes (previou s Class I)	No allocation concealme nt

Referen ce	Clas s	Medicatio n	Type of study	No. of treated patients	No. of controls	Duration of treatmen t	Mean age steroids started, y (SD)	Dropou ts greater than 20%	Compara tor	Outcome	Adverse events	In prior practice parame ter (if yes, what class?)	Reason for change in class from prior practice parameter
e31	III	0.3 mg/kg/d and 0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisone ; azathioprin e added later in study	RCT	n = 33 for 0.3 mg/kg/d; n = 34 for 0.75 mg/kg/d	n = 33 for 0.3 mg/kg/d; n = 34 for 0.75 mg/kg/d	6 mo on steroids and then 12 mo on steroids and azathiopri ne	Range 5–15 y	No	Placebo	Significant improvemen t in strength and functional scores	Weight gain, cushingoid appearanc e, increased blood pressure, short stature	Yes (previou s Class I)	Patients were not compared on baseline characterist ics, no allocation concealme nt
e38	III	Corticoster oid regimen not specified	Prospectiv e cohort	210 on steroids, 48 with past steroid use	82 not on steroids	Not specified	Range 4–28 y	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Slower decline in motor and pulmonary function and developmen t of scoliosis in steroid group	Fractures not related to steroid use	No	NA
e35	III	0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisolo ne for 10 days of each month	Retrospect ive cohort	37	86	Median 1 y (range 2 mo –9 y)	9.53 (1.2)	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Positive relationship between duration of treatment with prednisolon e and the age at onset of scoliosis but not the severity of scoliosis at the age of 17	Not reported	No	NA
e45	III	0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisone ; 0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort	Retrospect ive cohort	Prednison e: n = 36; deflazacor t: n = 25; both: n = 14	68	8.04 y (range 0.5–18.5 y)	Not provided	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Improvemen t in loss of ambulation, mean degree of scoliosis, and the number of patients with	Vertebral and long bone fractures. increased weight	No	NA

Referen ce	Clas s	Medicatio n	Type of study	No. of treated patients	No. of controls	Duration of treatmen t	Mean age steroids started, y (SD)	Dropou ts greater than 20%	Compara tor	Outcome	Adverse events	In prior practice parame ter (if yes, what class?)	Reason for change in class from prior practice parameter
										a scoliosis >10°			
e16	III	0.9mg/kg/d ay of deflazacort	Retrospect ive cohort	30	24	Mean 15.5 y (treated group) and 14.9 y (nontreat ed group)	8.5 (3.8)	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Scoliosis >20° seen in 20% treated group and 92% nontreated group; mortality higher in nontreated group (21% vs 3%)	Cataracts and short stature seen more in treated group; weight change not significant	No	NA
e43	III	Undefined dosing of prednisone or deflazacort	Retrospect ive cohort	48 (29 on prednison e, 19 on deflazacor t)	63	3 y ± 2.5	Not provided	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Lower odds ratio of developing an abnormal shortening fraction with treatment; no difference between prednisone and deflazacort	Noted but not specified	No	NA
e44	III	0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisone ; 0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort	Retrospect ive cohort	Prednison e: n = 9; deflazacor t: n = 5	23	>6 mo	7.5 (0.7)	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Less likely to develop ventricular dysfunction with treatment	Shorter stature	No	NA

Referen ce	Clas s	Medicatio n	Type of study	No. of treated patients	No. of controls	Duration of treatmen t	Mean age steroids started, y (SD)	Dropou ts greater than 20%	Compara tor	Outcome	Adverse events	In prior practice parame ter (if yes, what class?)	Reason for change in class from prior practice parameter
e42	≡	0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisone for the first 10 days of the month that was switched to 0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort as quickly as possible	Prospectiv e cohort	17	17	7–14 y	Median 7 y	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Improved T2 relaxation times and left ventricular systolic function	Not reported	No	NA
e20	II	0.75 and 1.5 mg/kg/d of prednisone	RCT	103	103	6 mo	Predniso ne 0.75 mg/kg/d: 9.16 (2.95); predniso ne 1.5 mg/kg/d: 9.09 (2.59)	No	Placebo	Improved functional motor scores, strength, and FVC with no significant difference between the treatment groups	Weight gain, cushingoid appearanc e, and hirsutism; no difference between the 2 regimens	Yes (previou s Class I)	No allocation concealme nt or intention to treat (although dropouts <20%, so does not downgrade further)
e27	III	1 mg/kg/d of deflazacort	RCT	14	14	12 mo	Range 5–11 y	No	Placebo	Improved functional motor scores and strength with treatment	Cushingoi d appearanc e, increased appetite, hirsutism, and behavior change	Yes (previou s Class I)	Patients were not compared on baseline characterist ics except weight and creatine kinase, no allocation concealme nt

Referen ce	Clas s	Medicatio n	Type of study	No. of treated patients	No. of controls	Duration of treatmen t	Mean age steroids started, y (SD)	Dropou ts greater than 20%	Compara tor	Outcome	Adverse events	In prior practice parame ter (if yes, what class?)	Reason for change in class from prior practice parameter
e28	III	0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisolo ne	RCT	44	23	Not defined, but >2 y	8.83 (1.25)	Yes	Patients not taking medicatio n	Improved functional motor scores, loss of ambulation, strength, and pulmonary function with treatment	Weight gain, cushingoid appearanc e, infections	No	NA
e46	III	0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisone ; 0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort	Prospectiv e cohort	67	67	3–15 mo	Range 5 y to loss of ambulati on	No	All patients on treatment	No significant difference in impact on progression of weakness between the 2 treatments	Weight gain; no difference between prednison e and deflazacor t	Yes (previou s Class I)	Patients were not compared on baseline characterist ics, no allocation concealme nt
e47	III	Daily prednisolo ne; intermittent prednisolo ne (on 10 d/off 10 d); alternateday prednisolo ne; daily deflazacort; switchers	Prospectiv e cohort	Daily prednisolo ne: n = 136; intermitten t prednisolo ne: n = 154; alternate-day prednisolo ne: n = 15; daily deflazacor t: n = 19; switchers: n = 72	32	4.3 y (range 0.5–7.5); 3.6 y (range 0.5–8.5); 5.0 y (range 2.4–7.5); 4.4 y (range 0.6–7.9); 4.1 y (range 0.7–7.8), respectively	Range 3.4– 9.8 y	No	Patients on daily vs intermitte nt regimen	Age at loss of ambulation earlier in intermittent group (HR 1.57, CI 0.87–2.82); faster decline motor function scale in intermittent group; no difference in respiratory and pulmonary function	More side effects with daily regimen: cushingoid , behavior, hypertensi on, short stature	No	NA

Referen ce	Clas s	Medicatio n	Type of study	No. of treated patients	No. of controls	Duration of treatmen t	Mean age steroids started, y (SD)	Dropou ts greater than 20%	Compara tor	Outcome	Adverse events	In prior practice parame ter (if yes, what class?)	Reason for change in class from prior practice parameter
e17		Deflazacor t 0.9 mg/kg/d or prednisone 0.5-0.75 mg/kg/d, with either ACE inhibitor or ARB, and calcium and vitamin D	Retrospect ive cohort	n = 63 on steroids	n = 23 not treated with steroids	11.0 y (4.8)	8.6 (3.5)	?	Patients not on steroids	Less death in steroid group (7/63 vs $10/23$, $p = 0.001$); survival rate at 15 y greater in treated group (78.6% vs 27.9% , $p = 0.005$); mortality HR for steroids 0.24 (0.07 - 0.91); less cardiomyop athy in treated group (7/63 vs $14/23$, $p = 0.0001$); cardiomyop athy HR for steroids 0.38 (0.16 - 0.9); slower rate of decline in LVEF in treated group (- 0.43% vs - 1.09% , $p = 0.01$); slower rate of decline in FS for	Short stature in steroid group more frequent (p < 0.0001); no difference in weight or hypertensi on	No	NA NA

Referen ce	Clas s	Medicatio n	Type of study	No. of treated patients	No. of controls	Duration of treatmen t	Mean age steroids started, y (SD)	Dropou ts greater than 20%	Compara tor	Outcome	Adverse events	In prior practice parame ter (if yes, what class?)	Reason for change in class from prior practice parameter
										treated group (- 0.32% vs - 0.65%, p = 0.002)			
e39	III	0.9 mg/kg/d of deflazacort	Retrospect ive cohort	19; 4 patients had taken prednison e previously	13; and additiona I historical controls	Years, otherwise not defined for all treated patients; follow-up range 49–79 mo	Not provided	No	Natural history controls as well as patients not on treatment	Improved functional motor scores, strength, and pulmonary function with treatment; no change in cardiac outcome	Short stature, cataract, obesity, fractures	Yes (previou s Class I)	While patients were matched for baseline age, there is no quantitative comparison of other baseline characterist ics, no allocation concealment, no intent to treat, and no allocation

Referen ce	Clas s	Medicatio n	Type of study	No. of treated patients	No. of controls	Duration of treatmen t	Mean age steroids started, y (SD)	Dropou ts greater than 20%	Compara tor	Outcome	Adverse events	In prior practice parame ter (if yes, what class?)	Reason for change in class from prior practice parameter
													concealme nt
e48	III	0.75 mg/kg of prednisone 10 d on/10 d off; 0.75 mg/kg of prednisone 10 d on/20 d off; 0.75 mg/kg/d of prednisone	Retrospect ive cohort	30;1;1, respectivel y; n = 33 on prednison e	14	Mean follow-up 57 mo but length of treatment not specified	Median 5 y (range 2.5– 8.6)	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	No difference in height and weight between the ages of 4 and 9 y between treated patients and controls	Not reported	No	NA
e36	III	0.75 mg/kg alternating -day prednisolo ne	Prospectiv e cohort	66	22	2.75 y (range 1.5–5)	Not provided	No	Patients not taking medicatio n	Improved age at loss of ambulation and rate of developing scoliosis with	Not reported	No	NA

Abbreviations: ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker; BMD = Becker muscular dystrophy; CI = confidence interval; DMD = Duchenne muscular dystrophy; FS = fractional shortening; FVC = forced vital capacity; HR = hazard ratio; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; NA = not applicable; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial.